	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summary	10/663.239	SCURATI, MARIO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Charles G. Freay	3746	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) Charles G. Freay.	(3)		
(2) <u>Tamsen Valoir</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 16 January 2009.			
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>12-17</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Miss Valoir agreed to the cancelation of claims 12-17 which were non-elected claims</u> .			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)			
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW, (See MFPS section 713.04). If a reply to the last office action has already been flied, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALIN DO DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATEMAY FORM. WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO RILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM. WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO RILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview equipments on reverse add or on attached sheet.			